5/9, 175

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR DEPOSIT			
150200052971	FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotification of Transmittal of International Preliminal Examination Report (Form PCT/IPBA/416)			
International application No. PCT/JP02/09975	international filing date (day/month/year) Priority 1.4.			
	26 Sentember 2002 (ac ac ac			
International Patent Classification (IPC) o H01L 21/56, 23/12	r national classification and IPC			
21/20, 23/12				
Applicant				
	HITACHI, LTD.			
This international preliminary examined is transmitted to the second secon	nination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority			
ant a definition to the applicant a	according to Article 36.			
This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, including this cover sheet.			
This report is also as-				
amended and are the basis fo	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been Administrative leads to the description and before this Authority (
	Additions under the PCT)			
These annexes consist of a to	tal ofsheets.			
3. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following items:			
I Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to possible			
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention				
citations and explanat	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain documents cite	ed			
VII Certain defects in the i	International annication			
VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application				
	time international application			
te of submission of the demand				
	Date of completion of this report			
26 September 2002 (26.09.	02)			
	03 June 2003 (03.06.2003)			
ne and mailing address of the IPEA/IP	Authorized officer			
simile No.	j j			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP02/09975

I. Basis of the report				
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*				
the international application as originally filed				
the description:				
pages, as originally filed				
pages, filed with the demand				
pages, filed with the letter of				
the claims:				
pages, as originally filed				
pages, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19				
pages, filed with the demand				
pages, filed with the letter of				
the drawings:				
pages , as originally filed				
pages, filed with the demand				
pages, filed with the letter of				
the sequence listing part of the description:				
pages, as originally filed				
pages, as originary field with the demand				
pages, filed with the letter of				
the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language				
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17). ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 02/09975

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement
1.	Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	6-13, 16	YES
	Claims	1-5, 14, 15	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-16	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims _	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

The documents that are cited in the international search report are indicated as "documents 1 and 2," as follows:

Document 1: JP 2002-9111 A (Mitsui High-tec Inc.), 11

January 2002

Document 2: JP 2000-150582 A (Matsushita Electric Ind.

Co., Ltd.), 30 May 2000

1. Claims 1-5

The invention that is set forth in claims 1-5 of the present application is disclosed in document 1 (fig. 1-4 and paragraphs [0014] to [0021]); therefore, it lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step. In addition, the invention that is disclosed in document 1 pertains to the so-called underfilling of the "semiconductor chip (19)." Whether or not it is underfilled, the semiconductor chip in question is nonetheless sealed by means of a resin, and it is common practice to seal the entirety of a semiconductor chip by means of the resin that is used for underfilling

2. Claims 6 and 7

Transfer molding methods and potting methods are common practice methods for sealing by means of a resin; therefore, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to employ such methods. Consequently, the inventions that are set forth in claims 6 and 7 of the present application do not involve an inventive step.

3. Claim 8

It is impossible to find any disclosures pertaining to step (b) in the description; therefore, the effects from said step are presumed to be so obvious as to not require a disclosure in the description. As such, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to configure such a step; consequently, the invention that is set forth in claim 8 of the present application does not involve an inventive step.

4. Claims 9-13

Refer to document 1 (fig. 1-4 and paragraphs [0014] to [0021]) and document 2 (fig. 5-7 and paragraphs [0004] to [0013]).

In the sections indicated above, document 2 discloses an LOC-type semiconductor device, and it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of applying the production method that is disclosed in document 1 in the production of said device; consequently, the invention that is set forth in claims 9-13 of the present application does not involve an inventive step.

5. Claims 14 and 15

In the invention that is disclosed in document 1, the production method is obviously configured using a schedule wherein after being subjected to a baking process, a device is inspected and is then soldered.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 02/09975

Consequently, the invention that is set forth in claims 14 and 15 of the present application lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step.

6. Claim 16

Pb-free soldering is well-known technology; therefore, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of mounting the electronic devices that are produced via the production method disclosed in document 1 by means of Pb-free soldering. Consequently, the invention that is set forth in claim 16 of the present application does not involve an inventive step.